



IPC-TR-587

# **Conformal Coating Material and Application “State of the Industry” Assessment Report**

Developed by the J-STD-001 Conformal Coating Material & Application Industry Assessment (5-22arr) of the Assembly & Joining Committee (5-20) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC

# Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	1	Application Type – Vapor Deposition .....	36
<b>Overview</b> .....	1	Application Type – Syringe/Auto-Spray Combo ...	37
<b>Project Resources</b> .....	2	Material Comparison by Cure Method: .....	38
Acknowledgements .....	2	Air Cure (a.k.a. Room Temperature Cure) .....	38
<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	3	Heat Cure .....	39
<b>Background</b> .....	3	UV Cure (a.k.a. Ultraviolet Cure) .....	40
<b>Design of Experiment (DOE) Parameters</b> .....	6	Polymerized Cure (a.k.a. Vapor-phase Polymerization) .....	41
<b>Cross-Sectioning Procedure</b> .....	9	Air/Heat Combo Cure .....	42
<b>Analysis Procedure</b> .....	9	Air/Humidity Combo Cure (a.k.a. Air/Moisture Combo Cure) .....	43
<b>Coating Application Methods</b> .....	11	Material Comparison of Unique Application Methods (Brush, Dip, Vapor and Combo) .....	44
Manual Spray .....	11	<b>Discussion</b> .....	46
Aerosol Spraying .....	11	IPC-J-STD-001 Conformal Coating Thickness Ranges .....	46
Handheld Gun Spraying .....	11	Evaluating the Test Results .....	47
Automated Spray .....	12	<b>Overall Conclusions</b> .....	55
Vapor Deposited .....	13	<b>Section 10 Test Results Relation to Other Studies</b> ...	56
Dip Deposition .....	14	<b>References</b> .....	62
Manual Brush .....	14	<b>Appendix A IPC Conformal Coat Plotter Overview</b> .....	63
Manual Syringe and Automated Spray Combination .....	14	<b>Appendix B IPC Component Definitions</b> .....	66
<b>Coating Cure Methods</b> .....	15		
Cure Mechanism .....	15	<b>Figures</b>	
Cure Process Considerations .....	16	Figure 1 Global Solder Usage Trends [1].....	4
Air Cure (a.k.a. Room Temperature Cure) .....	16	Figure 2 Osterman Study Initial Inspection of Conformal Coating Thickness/Coverage (Clockwise: Acrylic, Silicone, Paraxylylene and Urethane) .....	5
Heat Cure .....	16	Figure 3 Osterman Test Coupons after Conditioning show evidence of thin whiskers (Left to Right: Acrylic, Silicone and Urethane).....	6
UV Cure (a.k.a. Ultra-violet Cure) .....	16	Figure 4 PC009 Test Vehicle .....	7
Polymerized Cure (a.k.a. Vapor-phase Polymerization) .....	16	Figure 5 Representative Photographic Documentation of Conformally Coated Components Using Black Light Showing Minimal Coating Coverage .....	8
Air/Heat Combo Cure .....	16	Figure 6 Metallographic Cross-Section Angles: Profile (left), End (right) .....	9
Air/Humidity Combination Cure (a.k.a. Air/ Moisture Combination Cure) .....	17	Figure 7 PC009 Board with Components Selected for Metallographic Analysis .....	10
Moisture Cure .....	17	Figure 8 Representative Appendix B Graphic Showing SMR1206 Documenting Typical Measurement Areas .....	10
Air/Humidity Combination Cure .....	17	Figure 9 Hand Held Spray Gun Configuration .....	12
<b>Test Results</b> .....	17	Figure 10 Automated Spray Configurations: Non-atomized Curtain Coater (left), Swirl Applicator (right) .....	13
Material Comparison by Chemical Family .....	19	Figure 11 Parylene Conformal Coating System .....	13
AR – Acrylic Resin Coating Materials .....	19	Figure 12 Dip Conformal Coating System.....	14
UR – Urethane Resin Coating Materials .....	21		
ER – Epoxy Resin Coating Materials .....	26		
SR – Silicone Resin Coating Materials .....	27		
XY – Paraxylylene (a.k.a. Parylene) Coating Materials .....	30		
Material Comparison by Application Method: .....	31		
Application Type – Manual Spray .....	31		
Application Type – Automated Spray .....	32		
Application Type – Dip Deposition .....	34		
Application Type – Manual Brush .....	35		

Figure 13	Conformal Coating Minimum Thickness Results Show that in all Cases the Coating Thickness was Non-Zero .....	19	Figure 39	Average of Min and Max AR-XY Brush/DIP/ Combo/Vapor Deposition Application (mils) .....	44
Figure 14	Average of Min and Max AR Materials (mils) .....	20	Figure 40	Minimum Thickness AR-XY Brush/DIP/Combo/ Vapor Deposition Application (mils) .....	45
Figure 15	Average of Min and Max AR Spray Applications (mils) .....	20	Figure 41	Acrylic Sets 4 (blue line), 9 (red line), 10 (green line), 26 (purple line) .....	48
Figure 16	Average of Min and Max UR Materials (mils) .....	21	Figure 42	Acrylic Sets 4 (blue line), 9 (red line), 10 (green line) .....	49
Figure 17	Average of Min and Max UR Auto Spray/Cure Applications (mils) .....	22	Figure 43	Comparison of Acrylic Processes for PLCC68 Components .....	50
Figure 18	Average Min and Max UR Spray/Air+Heat Cure Applications (mils) .....	23	Figure 44	Maximums – Acrylics .....	51
Figure 19	Average of Min and Max UR Spray/UV Cure Applications (mils) .....	24	Figure 45	Maximums for Acrylics with Set 26 (purple line) Removed .....	52
Figure 20	Average of Min and Max UR Automated Spray/UV Cure Applications (mils) .....	25	Figure 46	Minimums – Comparison of Acrylic (blue line) and Urethane (red line) Processes .....	53
Figure 21	Average of Min and Max ER Materials (mils) .....	26	Figure 47	Comparison of Acrylic (blue line) and Urethane (red line) – Maximums .....	54
Figure 22	Average of Min and Max SR Materials (mils) .....	27	Figure 48	Comparison of Acrylic and Urethane Processes for a PLCC68 Component .....	55
Figure 23	Average of Min and Max SR Manual Spray/ Heat-Air-Humidity Cure Applications (mils) .....	28	Figure 49	Tin Whisker Shorting on a SOIC16 Test Component .....	56
Figure 24	Average of Min and Max SR Automated Spray/Heat-Air Cure Applications (mils) .....	29	Figure 50	PERM Test Vehicle .....	58
Figure 25	Average of Min and Max XY Material (mils) .....	30	Figure 51	SEM Assessment Illustrating Solder Wetting Coverage .....	59
Figure 26	Average of Min and Max Manual Spray/ Air-Heat-UV-Humidity Cure Applications (mils) ...	31	Figure 52	Influence of Test Vehicle/Component Cleanliness on Tin Whisker Initiation [8] .....	60
Figure 27	Average of Min and Max Automated Spray/ Air-Heat-UV Cure Applications (mils) .....	32	Figure 53	An individual slotted test coupon photograph .....	60
Figure 28	Average of Min and Max Automated Spray/ Heat-UV-Air Cure Applications (mils) .....	33	Figure 54	Conformal Coating Plotter Overview Instruction Figure .....	65
Figure 29	Average of Min and Max AR Dip/Air-Heat Cure Application (mils) .....	34			
Figure 30	Average of Min and Max AR Manual Brush/ Heat Cure Application (mils) .....	35			
Figure 31	Average of Min and Max XY Vapor Deposition/ Polymerization (mils) .....	36			
Figure 32	Average of Min and Max AR Manual Syringe-Auto-Spray/Air-Heat Cure Application (mils) .....	37			
Figure 33	Average of Min and Max SR Manual and Automated Spray Applications (mils) .....	38			
Figure 34	Average of Min and Max ER-SR-UR-AR Auto-Manual Spray/Brush Applications (mils) .....	39			
Figure 35	Average of Min and Max UR Automated-Manual Spray Applications (mils) .....	40			
Figure 36	Average of Min and Max Vapor Deposition Application (mils) .....	41			
Figure 37	Average of Min and Max AR-UR Manual-Auto Spray/DIP/Combo Applications (mils) .....	42			
Figure 38	Average of Min and Max SR Manual Spray Application (mils) .....	43			

### Tables

Table 1	Investigation Coating / Deposition / Cure Combinations .....	8
Table 2	Test Board Numbers for Application/Material Combinations Included in the Investigation .....	11
Table 3	Test Board Numbers for Cure Method/Material Combinations Included in the Investigation .....	15
Table 3	Test Board Numbers for Cure Method/Material Combinations Included in the Investigation .....	15
Table 4	Investigation Application Method/Material Subset Distribution .....	18
Table 5	Cure Method/Material Subset Distribution .....	18
Table 6	Conformal Coating Classifications from IPC-CC-830 .....	46
Table 7	Failures per Mitigation Type Results .....	56
Table 8	Woody/Fox Test Results [6] .....	57
Table 9	Self-mitigation probabilities with 90% confidence .....	59

# Conformal Coating Material and Application “State of the Industry” Assessment Report

David Hillman, Collins Aerospace  
Doug Pauls, Collins Aerospace  
Ross Wilcoxon, Collins Aerospace  
Jason Keeping, Celestica  
On behalf of the 5-22arr Task Group

---

## Abstract

Conformal coatings are thin layers of polymers applied to the surfaces of electronic assemblies, primarily to protect the electronics from the operational environment. In most manufacturing specifications, conformal coating thickness is defined as the thickness of the final polymer film on a flat, unencumbered surface of the assembly. However, the conformal coating thickness on other assembly and component surfaces are usually uncharacterized. This report outlines an IPC study of major conformal coating types, coating application techniques, and coating cure technologies, characterizing the final film thickness on common component surfaces. The study included volunteers from numerous manufacturing companies who applied coating using vetted manufacturing processes for the production of high reliability electronics.

## Overview

Conformal coating, for the purpose of this document, is defined as a thin, often transparent, polymeric coating that is applied to the surfaces of printed circuit assemblies (PCAs) to provide protection from the operational use environment. Typical coating thickness ranges from 12.5  $\mu\text{m}$  [0.49 mil] to 200  $\mu\text{m}$  [7.9 mil].

Processing characteristics and curing mechanisms vary with the coating chemistries used. The desired performance characteristics of a conformal coating depend on the application and should be considered when selecting a coating material and process. Conformal coatings are used for the following:

- Inhibit current leakage and short circuit due to humidity and contamination from service environment
- Inhibit corrosion
- Improve fatigue life of solder joints to leadless packages
- Inhibit arcing, corona and St. Elmo's Fire in high voltage circuits
- Reduce damage from mechanical shock and vibration by providing mechanical support to small parts that cannot be secured by mechanical means.
- Provide some protection and mitigation against tin-whiskers and other conductive foreign objects or debris (FOD).

The beneficial effects of the coating depend on its properties, thickness and coverage. These characteristics in turn depend on the coating chemistry, application process and curing process.

The measurement of conformal coating thickness, in situ, has always been a tremendous challenge for the industry. Consequently, it has been an industry best manufacturing practice (BMP) is to measure conformal coating on a flat, unencumbered portion of the circuit assembly, or on a flat witness coupon processed at the same time as the circuit assembly. The J-STD-001 specification specifies thicknesses for conformal coating but those thickness ranges are for flat, unencumbered portions of the circuit assembly. It is a common misconception that the J-STD-001 specified thickness ranges apply to ALL surfaces of a circuit assembly.

The unanswered question is, when conformal coating is applied to flat, unencumbered surfaces of a printed circuit assembly such that it meets the thickness criteria of J-STD-001, what degree of conformal coating coverage can be expected on other critical surfaces, such as component leads, undersides of components, etc.?

In 2013, the J-STD-001 committee tasked a group of subject matter experts (SMEs) to answer this question by investigating conformal coating coverage in greater depth to determine the degree of conformal coating coverage that can be achieved by industry-standard conformal coating application/cure processes. Collins Aerospace led this massive effort, which involved a large number of volunteer sites.